UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| 10/584,471 | 584,471 08/31/2006 Makoto | | 128467 | 4077 | |
| ²⁵⁹⁴⁴ OLIFF & BERI | 7590 06/18/201 RIDGE, PLC | EXAMINER | | | |
| P.O. BOX 3208 | 350 | BERNSHTEYN, MICHAEL | | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1796 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/18/2010 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

OfficeAction25944@oliff.com jarmstrong@oliff.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/584,471 | OUCHI ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MICHAEL M. BERNSHTEYN | 1796 | |

| _ | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | MICHAEL M. BERNSHTEYN | 1796 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | TINOT NEI ET WAOTT | LLD WITTIIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CED 41 37 must be f | iled within two month | e of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS O M The arrange of the standard sta | and the state of t | =20 (b (1 b | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further con | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | L below), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | lucing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-9,11,13 and 14</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | try is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Discl</i> os <i>ure Statement</i> (s). 0 13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /David Wu/ | /Michael M. Bernshteyn | 1 | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 | Examiner, Art Unit 1796 | | |

NOTE of 11: The proposed amendment(s) of claims 1 and 5 contain new limitations; therefore they raise new issues that would require further consideration and search.